

HIGH-FREQUENCY GRAVITATIONAL WAVES FROM HAIRY BLACK HOLES

R.J. SLAGTER

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Amsterdam,
Valckenierstraat 65, 1018XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

We investigated the stability of the spherically symmetric non-abelian (Bartnik-McKinnon) black hole solution of the SU(2) Einstein-Yang-Mills system using the multiple-scale analysis. It is found, in contrast with the vacuum situation, that a spherically symmetric oscillatory perturbation to second order cannot be constructed. The singular behavior of the gravitational waves is probably induced by the coupling of the gravitational waves to the Yang-Mills waves.

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of the non-abelian particle-like and non-Reissner-Nordström (hairy) black hole solutions of the SU(2) Einstein-Yang-Mills (EYM) theory, first studied by Bartnik and McKinnon (BMK) [1], initiated a throughout instability investigation by several authors [2–5]. It turns out that under spherically symmetric perturbations the BM solution is unstable. The n th BMK solution has in fact $2n$ unstable modes, comparable with the flat spacetime "sphaleron" solution. In the static case, it was recently conjectured [6] that there are hairy black holes in the SU(N) EYM-theories with topological instabilities. In order to analyze the stability of the solutions, one usually linearizes the field equations, or one expands the field variables in a physically unclear small parameter [2,4]. We conjecture that the conventional linear analysis is in fact inadequate to be applied to the situation where a singularity is formed. One better can apply the so-called multiple-scale or two-timing method, developed by Choquet-Bruhat [7,8]. This method is particularly useful for constructing uniformly valid approximations to solutions of perturbation problems [10]. One of the advantages is that one can keep track of the different orders of approximations. The method originates from the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin(WKB)-method in order to construct approximate solutions of the non-linear Schrödinger equation. It can also be applied when there arise secular terms, as in the Mathieu equation $\frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} + (a + 2\epsilon \cos t)y = 0$. As a nice application of the multiple-scale-method, one finds the boundaries between the regions in the (a, ϵ) plane for which all solutions are stable and the regions in which there are unstable solutions. Quite recently it was found that the method can be successful for gravity with Gauss-Bonnet terms. There is another application, namely the threshold of black hole formation of the choptuon [11–14]. Numerically it is found that there is a critical parameter whose value separates solutions containing black holes from those which do not. For a critical value one observes self-similarity: it produces itself (echoes) on progressively finer scales (Choptuik scaling). It is evident that the collapsing ball of field energy will produce gravitational waves, which will be coupled to the YM-field perturbations. Due to the accumulation of echoes, the curvature diverges. The multiple-scale method is suitable for handling this critical situation.

Let us consider a manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with two different scales, i.e., a mapping from $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{R}$ into the space of metrics on $\mathcal{M}$:

$$(\mathbf{x}, \xi) \implies g(\mathbf{x}, \xi), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{M}, \xi \in \mathcal{R}. \quad (1)$$

We set $\xi \equiv \omega \Pi(\mathbf{x})$, with Π a phase function on $\mathcal{M}$ of dimension of *length* and ω a large parameter of dimension $(length)^{-1}$.

The multiple-scale method assumes that the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the YM-potentials A_μ^a can be written as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\omega} h_{\mu\nu}(x^\sigma; \xi) + \frac{1}{\omega^2} k_{\mu\nu}(x^\sigma; \xi) + \dots, \quad (2)$$

$$A_\mu^a = \bar{A}_\mu^a + \frac{1}{\omega} B_\mu^a(x^\sigma; \xi) + \frac{1}{\omega^2} C_\mu^a(x^\sigma; \xi) + \dots, \quad (3)$$

where $\xi \equiv \omega \Pi(x^\sigma)$ and Π a phase function. The parameter ω measures the ratio of the fast scale to the slow one. The rapid variation only occur in the direction of the vector $l_\sigma \equiv \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial x^\sigma}$. For a function $\Psi(x^\sigma; \xi)$ one has

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^\sigma} = \partial_\sigma \Psi + \omega l_\sigma \dot{\Psi}, \quad (4)$$

where $\partial_\sigma \Psi \equiv \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^\sigma}|_{\xi \text{ fixed}}$ and $\dot{\Psi} \equiv \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \xi}|_{x^\sigma \text{ fixed}}$. We consider here the case where the magnitude of the perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ of the metric with respect to the background $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is of order ω^{-1} (for different possibilities, such as for example with the leading term in the metric expansion of order ω^{-2} , see Taub [9]). Further, we will consider here the hypersurfaces $\Pi(x^\sigma) = cst$ as wave fronts for the background metric $\bar{g}$, so (Eikonal equation)

$$l_\alpha l_\beta \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Substituting the expansions of the field variables into the equations and collecting terms of equal orders of ω , one obtains propagation equations for $\dot{B}_\mu^a, \dot{C}_\mu^a, \dot{h}_{\mu\nu}$ and 'back-reaction' equations for $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{A}_\mu^a$. It will be clear from the propagation equation that there will be a coupling between the high-frequency gravitational field and the high-frequency behavior of A_μ^a when the singularity will be approached.

II. THE EYM FIELD EQUATIONS IN THE MULTIPLE-SCALE FORMULATION

Consider the Lagrangian of the SU(2) EYM system

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{\mathcal{R}}{16\pi G} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu a} \right], \quad (6)$$

with the YM field strength

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g\epsilon^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c, \quad (7)$$

g the gauge coupling constant, G Newton's constant, A_μ^a the gauge potential, and $\mathcal{R}$ the curvature scalar. The field equations then become

$$G_{\mu\nu} = -8\pi G \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu a} = 0, \quad (9)$$

with $\mathcal{T}$ the energy-momentum tensor

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu\lambda}^a \mathcal{F}_\nu^{\lambda a} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}^a \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta a}, \quad (10)$$

and $\mathcal{D}$ the gauge-covariant derivative, $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a \equiv \nabla_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a + g\epsilon^{abc} A_\alpha^b \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^c$. Substituting the expansions into the YM-equation Eq. 9, we obtain for the order ω equation

$$\bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} \left[l_\mu l_\alpha \ddot{B}_\nu^a - l_\nu l_\alpha \ddot{B}_\mu^a \right] = 0. \quad (11)$$

For the order ω^0 -equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} \left[\bar{\nabla}_\alpha \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}^a + \Upsilon_{\alpha\mu}^\lambda \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu\lambda}^a - \Upsilon_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\lambda}^a + \dot{B}_\nu^a \left(l_{\mu,\alpha} - (\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\mu}^\lambda + \Upsilon_{\alpha\mu}^\lambda) l_\lambda \right) - \dot{B}_\mu^a \left(l_{\nu,\alpha} - (\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda + \Upsilon_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda) l_\lambda \right) \right. \\ & + l_\mu \left(\dot{B}_{\nu,\alpha} - (\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda + \Upsilon_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda) \dot{B}_\lambda^a \right) - l_\nu \left(\dot{B}_{\mu,\alpha} - (\bar{\Gamma}_{\alpha\mu}^\lambda + \Upsilon_{\alpha\mu}^\lambda) \dot{B}_\lambda^a \right) + l_\alpha (\dot{B}_{\nu,\mu}^a - \dot{B}_{\mu,\nu}^a) + l_\alpha (l_\mu \ddot{C}_\nu^a - l_\nu \ddot{C}_\mu^a) \\ & \left. + g\epsilon^{abc} \left(l_\alpha (\bar{A}_\mu^b \dot{B}_\nu^c + \bar{A}_\nu^b \dot{B}_\mu^c) + \bar{A}_\mu^b (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}^c + l_\mu \dot{B}_\nu^c - l_\nu \dot{B}_\mu^c) \right) \right] - h^{\mu\alpha} l_\alpha (l_\mu \ddot{B}_\nu^a - l_\nu \ddot{B}_\mu^a) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with $\Upsilon_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \equiv \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{\sigma\lambda} (l_\mu \dot{h}_{\nu\sigma} - l_\nu \dot{h}_{\mu\sigma} - l_\sigma \dot{h}_{\mu\nu})$.

Substituting the expansions into the Einstein equations Eq. 8, we obtain for the order ω equation

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{(-1)} = l_\nu \dot{\Upsilon}_{\mu\sigma}^\sigma - l_\sigma \dot{\Upsilon}_{\mu\nu}^\sigma = 0. \quad (13)$$

For the ω^0 -equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = & -8\pi G \left\{ \bar{g}^{\lambda\beta} (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\lambda}^a + l_\mu \dot{B}_\lambda^a - l_\lambda \dot{B}_\mu^a) (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu\beta}^a + l_\nu \dot{B}_\beta^a - l_\beta \dot{B}_\nu^a) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{g}^{\sigma\alpha} \bar{g}^{\tau\beta} (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha\beta}^a + l_\alpha \dot{B}_\beta^a - l_\beta \dot{B}_\alpha^a) (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\sigma\tau}^a + l_\sigma \dot{B}_\tau^a - l_\tau \dot{B}_\sigma^a) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

with $\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}$ the background Ricci tensor and $R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ an expression in $\ddot{k}, h\ddot{h}, \dot{h}^2$ and $\dot{h}$ (see Choquet-Bruhat [7]). We can simplify the equations considerable. If we use Eq. 5, then Eq. 11 becomes

$$l^\mu \ddot{B}_\mu^a = 0, \quad (15)$$

so for periodic B_μ^a we have

$$l^\mu B_\mu^a = 0. \quad (16)$$

Using Eq. 13 and Eq. 15 we obtain from Eq. 12

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} \left[\bar{\nabla}_\alpha \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}^a - \Upsilon_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\lambda}^a + \dot{B}_\nu^a \bar{\nabla}_\alpha l_\mu - \dot{B}_\mu^a \bar{\nabla}_\alpha l_\nu + l_\mu \bar{\nabla}_\alpha \dot{B}_\nu^a - l_\nu \bar{\nabla}_\alpha \dot{B}_\mu^a + l_\alpha (\dot{B}_{\nu,\mu}^a - \dot{B}_{\mu,\nu}^a) \right] \\ + l_\nu l_\alpha h^{\mu\alpha} \ddot{B}_\mu^a - l_\nu l^\mu \ddot{C}_\mu^a + g\epsilon^{abc} \bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} \left[\bar{A}_\alpha^b \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}^c + 2l_\alpha \bar{A}_\mu^b \dot{B}_\nu^c - l_\nu \bar{A}_\alpha^b \dot{B}_\mu^c \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with $\bar{\nabla}$ the covariant derivative with respect to the background metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. Integrating this equation with respect to ξ yields

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}^\mu \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}^a = 0. \quad (18)$$

Substituting back this equation into Eq. 12 we obtain the propagation equation for the YM-field

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}^\mu (l_\mu \dot{B}_\nu^a - l_\nu \dot{B}_\mu^a) + l^\mu (\bar{\nabla}_\mu \dot{B}_\nu^a - \bar{\nabla}_\nu \dot{B}_\mu^a) - \bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} \Upsilon_{\alpha\nu}^\lambda \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\lambda}^a + l^\alpha l_\nu h_\alpha^\lambda \ddot{B}_\lambda^a \\ - l_\nu l^\mu \ddot{C}_\mu^a + g\epsilon^{abc} \bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} (2l_\alpha \bar{A}_\mu^b \dot{B}_\nu^c - l_\nu \bar{A}_\alpha^b \dot{B}_\mu^c) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Multiplying this propagation equation Eq. 19 with $\dot{B}^{\nu a}$, we obtain the 'conservation'-equation

$$\bar{\nabla}_\alpha (l^\alpha \dot{B}_\nu^a \dot{B}^{\nu a}) = l^\mu \dot{h}_\nu^\lambda \dot{B}^{\nu a} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\lambda}^a - 2g\epsilon^{abc} l^\mu \dot{B}_\nu^c \dot{B}^{\nu a} \bar{A}_\mu^b, \quad (20)$$

so $\dot{B}_\nu^a \dot{B}^{\nu a}$ is not conserved, unless the right-hand side is zero. Multiplying Eq. 19 with l^ν , we obtain

$$l^\nu (l^\lambda \dot{h}_\nu^\mu - l^\mu \dot{h}_\nu^\lambda) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\lambda}^a = 0. \quad (21)$$

For the choice $l^\nu h_{\nu\mu} = 0$ and hence from Eq. 13 $h = 0$, the equations simplify again.

Integrating Eq. 14 with respect to ξ , we obtain

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4\tau} l_\mu l_\nu \int (\dot{h}_\rho^\sigma \dot{h}_\sigma^\rho - \frac{1}{2} \dot{h}^2) d\xi - \frac{8\pi G}{\tau} l_\mu l_\nu \int \dot{B}^{\alpha a} \dot{B}_\alpha^a d\xi - 8\pi G \bar{\mathcal{T}}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (22)$$

with τ the period of high-frequency components. In the right-hand side we have the back-reaction term due to the high-frequency gravitational perturbation, the high-frequency YM-perturbations and the background energy- momentum term $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$. Substitution back Eq. 22 into Eq. 14, we obtain the perturbation equations for $h_{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = l_\mu l_\nu \left[\frac{1}{4\tau} \int (\dot{h}_\rho^\sigma \dot{h}_\sigma^\rho - \frac{1}{2} \dot{h}^2) d\xi + \frac{8\pi G}{\tau} \int \dot{B}^{\alpha a} \dot{B}_\alpha^a d\xi \right] - 8\pi G \left[(l_\mu l_\nu \dot{B}^{\lambda a} \dot{B}_\lambda^a \right. \\ \left. + \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\lambda}^a (l_\nu \dot{B}^{\lambda a} - l^\lambda \dot{B}_\nu^a) + \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu\lambda}^a (l_\mu \dot{B}^{\lambda a} - l^\lambda \dot{B}_\mu^a) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}_{\alpha\beta} (l^\alpha \dot{B}^{\beta a} - l^\beta \dot{B}^{\alpha a}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

III. APPLICATION TO THE SPHERICAL SYMMETRIC SPACETIME

Let us consider the spherical symmetric spacetime

$$ds^2 = -m(t, r) N(t, r)^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{m(t, r)} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2), \quad (24)$$

We have for the tetrad component: $l_\mu = (-N, \frac{1}{m}, 0, 0)$ and $l^\mu = (\frac{1}{mN}, 1, 0, 0)$. We parameterize the SU(2) YM field in the 'polar' gauge as [2]

$$A = A_\mu dx^\mu = A_\mu^a \tau_a dx^\mu = \mathcal{A}_1 \tau_3 dt + \mathcal{A}_2 \tau_3 dr + (\mathcal{W}_1 \tau_1 + \mathcal{W}_2 \tau_2) d\theta + (\cot \theta \tau_3 + \mathcal{W}_1 \tau_2 - \mathcal{W}_2 \tau_1) \sin \theta d\varphi, \quad (25)$$

with τ_a the spherical projections of the SU(2) generators. In components

$$A_t = \left[0, 0, \bar{a} + \frac{1}{\omega} a_1 + \frac{1}{\omega^2} a_2 + \dots \right],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_r &= \left[0, 0, \bar{b} + \frac{1}{\omega} b_1 + \frac{1}{\omega^2} b_2 + \dots, \right] \\
A_\theta &= \left[-(\bar{w} + \frac{1}{\omega} w_1 + \frac{1}{\omega^2} w_2 + \dots), -(\bar{v}(u) + \frac{1}{\omega} v_1 + \frac{1}{\omega^2} v_2 + \dots), 0 \right], \\
A_\varphi &= \left[\bar{v} + \frac{1}{\omega} v_1 + \frac{1}{\omega} v_2 + \dots, -(\bar{w} + \frac{1}{\omega} w_1 + \frac{1}{\omega^2} w_2 + \dots) \sin \theta, -\cos \theta \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where the perturbations depend on t, r, θ, φ and ξ . From Eq. 16 we obtain the condition $b_1 = -\frac{a_1}{mN}$. From Eq. 13 we obtain some restrictions on $h_{\mu\nu}$: $h_{01} = -\frac{h_{00}}{2mN} - \frac{1}{2}mN h_{11}$, $h_{12} = -\frac{h_{02}}{mN}$ and $h_{13} = -\frac{h_{03}}{mN}$. We can now evaluate the propagation equations and back-reaction equations. From the YM propagation equation Eq. 19 we obtain the set ($g = -1$)

$$\bar{w}^2 + \bar{v}^2 = 0, \quad \bar{b} = \frac{-\bar{a}}{mN}, \quad \partial_t m - m^2 \partial_r N = 0, \tag{27}$$

$$\partial_t \dot{w}_1 + mN \partial_r \dot{w}_1 = 0, \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \dot{a}_1 + mN \partial_r \dot{a}_1 - \dot{a}_1 \left(\frac{\partial_t m}{m} + \frac{\partial_t N}{N} \right) + N \ddot{a}_2 + mN^2 \ddot{b}_2 \\
&+ (\partial_t \bar{a} + mN \partial_r \bar{a} - \frac{\bar{a} \partial_t m}{m} - \frac{\bar{a} \partial_t N}{N}) \left(\frac{h_{00} - m^2 N^2 \dot{h}_{11}}{2mN^2} \right) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

The third equation in Eq. 27 is consistent with the result that the divergence of the null-congruence of l^μ must be equals $\frac{2}{r}$, and the fact that $\partial_{rt} \Pi = \partial_{tr} \Pi$. The back-reaction equation Eq. 18 for the background YM field yields (from now on we set $\bar{v} = \bar{w} = 0$)

$$\partial_t^2 \bar{b} - \partial_t \bar{b} \frac{\partial_t N}{N} + \partial_r \bar{a} \frac{\partial_t N}{N} - \partial_{tr} \bar{a} = 0, \tag{30}$$

For the propagation equations Eq. 23 of the high-frequency gravitational perturbations h and k , we obtain

$$\partial_t \dot{h}_{22} + mN \partial_r \dot{h}_{22} - \frac{mN \dot{h}_{22}}{r} = 0, \tag{31}$$

$$\partial_t \dot{h}_{23} + mN \partial_r \dot{h}_{23} - \frac{mN \dot{h}_{23}}{r} = 0, \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ddot{k}_{13} + \frac{1}{mN} \ddot{k}_{03} = -\frac{\partial_t \dot{h}_{03}}{mN^2} + \frac{\partial_r \dot{h}_{03}}{N} - \left(\frac{\partial_t m}{m^2 N^2} + \frac{\partial_t N}{mN^3} + \frac{2}{rN} \right) \dot{h}_{03} - \frac{\partial_\varphi \dot{h}_{00}}{2mN^2} + \frac{m \partial_\varphi \dot{h}_{11}}{2} \\
&+ \frac{\partial_\varphi \dot{h}_{22}}{r^2} - \frac{\partial_\theta \dot{h}_{23}}{r^2} - \frac{\dot{h}_{23} \cot \theta}{r^2} - \frac{16\pi G \cos \theta}{m^2 N^3} (mN \partial_t \bar{a} - \bar{a} N \partial_t m - \bar{a} m \partial_t N + m^2 N^2 \partial_r \bar{a}),
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ddot{k}_{12} + \frac{1}{mN} \ddot{k}_{02} = \left(\frac{2}{rN} + \frac{\partial_t m}{m^2 N^2} + \frac{\partial_t N}{mN^3} \right) \dot{h}_{02} - \frac{16\pi G \cot \theta}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_\theta \dot{h}_{22} - \frac{2 \cot \theta}{r^2} \dot{h}_{22} \\
&- \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \partial_\varphi \dot{h}_{23} + \frac{m}{2} \partial_\theta \dot{h}_{11} - \frac{1}{N} \partial_r \dot{h}_{02} - \frac{1}{mN^2} \partial_t \dot{h}_{02} - \frac{1}{2mN^2} \partial_\theta \dot{h}_{00},
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ddot{k}_{22} + \frac{\ddot{k}_{33}}{\sin^2 \theta} = 2m^2 r \dot{h}_{11} - 32\pi G (\dot{v}_1^2 + \dot{w}_1^2) + \frac{2}{r^2} h_{22} \ddot{h}_{22} + \frac{2}{\sin^2 \theta r^2} h_{23} \ddot{h}_{23} - \frac{2}{N} \partial_\theta \dot{h}_{02} - \frac{2 \cot \theta}{N} \dot{h}_{02} \\
&+ \frac{\dot{h}_{22}^2}{r^2} + \frac{\dot{h}_{23}^2}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} - \frac{2}{N \sin^2 \theta} \partial_\varphi \dot{h}_{03} + \frac{1}{r^2 \tau} \int \left(\dot{h}_{22}^2 + \frac{\dot{h}_{23}^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) d\xi + \frac{32\pi G}{\tau} \int (\dot{w}_1^2 + \dot{v}_1^2) d\xi,
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ddot{k}_{11} + \frac{2}{mN} \ddot{k}_{01} + \frac{1}{m^2 N^2} \ddot{k}_{00} = \left(\frac{2}{m^2 N^4} \partial_t N + \frac{3}{m^3 N^3} \partial_t m + \frac{1}{m^2 N^2} \partial_r m \right) \dot{h}_{00} \\
&+ \left(\frac{1}{mN} \partial_t m + \partial_r m \right) \dot{h}_{11} + \frac{1}{N} \partial_t \dot{h}_{11} + m \partial_r \dot{h}_{11} - \frac{1}{m^2 N^3} \partial_t \dot{h}_{00} - \frac{1}{mN^2} \partial_r \dot{h}_{00}.
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

From the back-reaction equations Eq. 22 we obtain

$$\partial_t m = \frac{N}{2\tau r^3} \int \left(\frac{\dot{h}_{22}^2 \sin^2 \theta + \dot{h}_{23}^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) d\xi + \frac{16\pi GN}{r} \int \left(\frac{\dot{w}_1^2 \sin^2 \theta + \dot{v}_1^2 \sin^2 \theta + \frac{1}{2} \cos^2 \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) d\xi, \tag{37}$$

which represents the effect on the decrease of the metric ("mass") component M due to the high-frequency perturbations, where M is the well known mass parameter in the Schwarzschild parameterization $m \equiv (1 - \frac{2M}{r})$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Let us try to find a bounded solution to second order. Following the vacuum situation of Choquet-Bruhat [7], we consider the simplified situation, where we take $h_{02} = h_{03} = \dot{k}_{02} = \dot{k}_{03} = 0$ and $h_{\mu\nu}$ independent of φ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{k}_{33} &= -\sin^2 \theta \ddot{k}_{22} \\ h_{22} &= r\mathcal{H}_{22}(r, t) \cos \xi \sin \theta \\ h_{23} &= r\mathcal{H}_{23}(r, t) \sin \xi \sin \theta \cos \theta.\end{aligned}\tag{38}$$

From Eq. 31, Eq. 32 and Eq. 35 we could find a bounded (not spherically symmetric) solution for the other components of $\dot{h}_{\mu\nu}$. However, from Eq. 34 we conclude that $\ddot{k}_{12}$ is unbounded at the poles.

In a future work we will investigate the axially symmetric case, as initiated before [15]

-
- [1] R. Bartnik and J. McKinnon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 141 (1988).
 - [2] N. Straumann and Z. H. Zhou, *Phys. Lett. B* **237**, 353 (1990)
 - [3] G. Lavrelashvili and D. Maison, *Phys. Lett.* **343**, 214 (1995).
 - [4] N. Straumann and Z. H. Zhou, *Phys. Lett. B* **243**, 33 (1990).
 - [5] Z. H. Zhou and N. Straumann, *Nucl. Phys. B* **360**, 180 (1991).
 - [6] N. E. Mavromatos and E. Winstanley, *J. Math. Phys.* **39**, 4849 (1998).
 - [7] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **12**, 16 (1969).
 - [8] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **8**, 561, (1977).
 - [9] A. H. Taub in *General Relativity and Gravitation*, ed. A. Held (Plenum press, New York, 1980).
 - [10] R. J. Slagter, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **23**, 991, (1991).
 - [11] M. W. Choptuik, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 9, (1993).
 - [12] M. W. Choptuik, T. Chmaj and P. Bizon, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **77**, 424, (1996).
 - [13] D. Garfinkle, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, R3169, (1997).
 - [14] P. Bizon, gr-qc/960606
 - [15] R. J. Slagter, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 025009 (1999).